

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,962	06/25/2003	Manuel Munoz Saiz	43068-0028	3720
20822	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
RUDEN, MCCLOSKY, SMITH, SCHUSTER & RUSSELL, P.A.			BAREFOOT, GALEN L	
P.O. BOX 1900 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3644	<u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/603,962	SAIZ, MANUEL MUNOZ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Galen L Barefoot	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmant/al						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/603,962

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3,6-8,13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Saiz (6082668).

It is noted that "faired, profiled, and streamlined" all have the equivalent scope with claim language and applicant has not clearly set forth any different scope. It is also noted that the aerodynamic profile of Saiz will generate and not generate lift depending on its angle of attack.

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668) in view of Loedding (2503585). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the leading edge of Saiz (6082668) with a lip as taught by 70 in figure 5 of Loedding (2503585) since it will improve the flow characteristics.
- 3. Claims 9, 11, I 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the al't at the time the invention was made to make the skin of Saiz (6082668) out of flexible fabric as this is a well known old skin material that is light and easy to work with and also to provide a trailing edge flap is well known lift enhancer.

Art Unit: 3644

4. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.j 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668) in view of Saiz (6378803). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the profile of Saiz (6082668) as taught by figures 9 and 12 of Saiz (6378803) since it is a mere change of shape.

Applicants remarks have been considered but are deemed to be more specific than what is claimed. The claim language must clearly define over the art.

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 703-308-2567.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

August 23, 2004

Galen,Barefoot Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3644